

How might Scottish environmental policy instruments enable transformative change in a Post-Brexit Britain?

Alba Juarez-Bourke, Kerry Waylen, Kirsty Blackstock, Jessica Maxwell, Sophie Tindale





Why might we need transformative change?



- Natural resources in Scotland in poor condition or at risk of degradation
 - E.g. Biodiversity loss, water quality, soil erosion

Why focus on policy instruments?

- Critiques of sectoral approach natural resource management
- Perception of inefficient and even conflicting policies

Places to Intervene in a System (in increasing order of effectiveness)

- Constants, parameters, numbers (such as subsidies, taxes, standards)
- The sizes of buffers and other stabilizing stocks, relative to their flows.
- The structure of material stocks and flows (such as transport networks, population age structures)
- The lengths of delays, relative to the rate of system change
- The strength of negative feedback loops, relative to the impacts they are trying to correct against
- The gain around driving positive feedback loops
- The structure of information flows (who does and does not have access to what kinds of information)
- The rules of the system (such as incentives, punishments, constraints)
- The power to add, change, evolve, or selforganize system structure
- 3. The goals of the system
- The mindset or paradigm out of which the system—its goals, structure, rules, delays, parameters—arises
- 1. The power to transcend paradigms

Focus of the research



- Adjusting policy instruments could be a leverage point
- Policy instruments = regulations, incentives, advice and support mechanisms used to deliver policy

Our questions

- How do policy instruments interact?
- What isn't working?
- What changes are needed?
- What are the implications for Brexit?

Source: Donella Meadows

Potential for change in Scotland post-Brexit



- The EU has shaped how natural resources are managed
 - By setting minimum standards
 - Through other policies. E.g. CAP
- With Brexit, the UK must decide its approach to environmental management
 - UK's Agriculture Bill to pay farmers and land managers for provision of public goods (instead of CAP's Direct Payments)
- Environmental and agricultural policies are devolved matters
 - Scotland has powers to govern these
- Scotland has committed to maintain and improve EU standards





















Methods



- Focus on a subset of 10 policy instruments (see next slide)
- Deductive analysis of documentation based on Institutional Analysis and Development framework
- 17 interviews with those who designed or implement the instruments
- Inductive-deductive thematic analysis
 - Are policy instruments delivering multiple benefits?
 - Are there gaps?
 - Are policy instruments aligned?
 - Are there conflicts between instruments?





















Focus	Level One: parent policies/legislation	Level Two: policy instruments	Category
Water	EU Water Framework Directive Water Environment and Water	Water Environment Fund (WEF)	Incentives
	Services (Scotland) Act 2003 Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)	Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland) Regulations 2005 and 2011 (CAR)	
Biodiversity	Directive (2009/147/EC) on the conservation of wild birds	Conservation (Natural Habitats, & c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended in Scotland) (Habitats Regulations)	Regulations
Soil	Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009	Farming for a Better Climate (FFBC)	Plans, Guidance
Links to	Scottish Planning Policy 2014	Planning Advice Note 51 Planning, Environmental Protection and Regulation	and Voluntary Initiatives
water, soil &	National Planning Framework 3 2014	Central Scotland Green Network	
biodiversity	Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) The Common Agricultural Policy	Cross Compliance via Good Agricultural and Environmental Conditions (GAECs)	
	(Cross-Compliance) (Scotland) Regulations 2014	Knowledge Transfer and Innovation Fund (KTIF)	Hybrid
	Scottish Rural Development Programme (SRDP)	Management of Buffer Areas for Fens and Lowland Bogs Less Favoured Areas Support Scheme	3 22
	3. A III	(LFASS)	- 6



How do policy instruments interact?



- Instruments affect multiple natural assets, even when designed to affect a single asset.
 - E.g. Habitats Regulations protect soil and water as well as biodiversity
- No evidence of conflict between instruments
 - Considerable efforts to avoid duplication and conflict (often these efforts are invisible)

But there is space for improvement...



What isn't working?



- All assets are not well covered by the mix of instruments
 - Few instruments designed to manage soil, air, biodiversity and climate change
 - Biodiversity protected mostly through regulatory instruments that apply only to designated areas (Habitats Regulations)
 - Regulations do not cover all assets.
 E.g. lack of soil protection

What changes are needed?

The James
Hutton
Institute

- Reconsider the mix of policy instruments
 - More incentives for biodiversity conservation
 - More regulation for soil protection
- Expand instruments' objectives
 - E.g. cross-compliance via GAECs could do more for soil protection
- More formal connections between instruments
 - E.g. between CAR and the PEPFAA code
- Not enough to adjust policy instruments Need to reform the policy mix





What are the implications of Brexit?



- New Agricultural Policy (UK)
 - Shift from CAP's direct payments (per area farmed) to payments for good environmental practices
- Need for regulation as well as incentives
- May be more appropriate to manage the environment through policies that focus on environmental protection
 - E.g. soil policy

Places to Intervene in a System (in increasing order of effectiveness)

- Constants, parameters, numbers (such as subsidies, taxes, standards)
- The sizes of buffers and other stabilizing stocks, relative to their flows.
- The structure of material stocks and flows (such as transport networks, population age structures)
- The lengths of delays, relative to the rate of system change
- The strength of negative feedback loops, relative to the impacts they are trying to correct against
- The gain around driving positive feedback loops
- The structure of information flows (who does and does not have access to what kinds of information)
- The rules of the system (such as incentives, punishments, constraints)
- The power to add, change, evolve, or selforganize system structure
- The goals of the system
- The mindset or paradigm out of which the system—its goals, structure, rules, delays, parameters—arises
- The power to transcend paradigms

Leverage points



- Can changing the policy landscape lead to transformative change?
 - Do the goals of the system need to change first?
 - Do mindsets need to be changed first? Can changing the rules of the system help change mindsets?
- Can the leverage points framework help select the right mix of instruments?

Source: Donella Meadows





Thank you

Research funded by Scottish Government Strategic Research Programme 2016-2021

We would like to thank all interviewees for their valuable insights and for their time.

For more information:

<u>Alba.JuarezBourke@hutton.ac.uk</u>

http://www.hutton.ac.uk/research/projects/analysing-how-policy-instruments-shape-soil-water-and-biodiversity









